Identification by X-Ray Diffraction of MoS₂ in Used **Co-Mo-AI20~ Desulfurization Catalysts 1**

The nature of the molybdenum species in supported molybdena hydrodesulfurization (HDS) catalysts is still a matter of controversy. The primary controversy is whether the molybdenum exists as a monolayer across the support surface or in threedimensional units. Of particular importance, from a practical standpoint, is the structure of the catalysts subsequent to the oxide-to-sulfide transformation caused either by treatment with a specific sulfiding agent (e.g., hydrogen sulfide or thiophene) or after use in an HDS reactor.

Massoth (1) has recently summarized the evidence for the structure of the sulfided catalysts. He concluded that the molybdenum monolayer present in the oxide form (s) of the catalyst is maintained upon sulfidation. Using Raman spectroscopy, Brown *et al. (2)* have recently suggested the presence of three-dimensional $MoS₂$ in a commercial catalyst of Co-Mo supported on $SiO_2-Al_2O_3$ after its use in a coal liquefaction reactor. X-Ray diffraction has previously been used to study sulfided $Co-Mo-Al₂O₃$ and $Co-Mo-SiO₂$ catalysts (3). de Beer *et al.* observed the strongest diffraction line of $MoS₂$, 6.15 Å, in two of the silica- and none of the aluminasupported catalysts sulfided with a mixture of H_2 and H_2S . This present note reports the results of an X-ray diffraction study of a commercial, Harshaw 0402T, Co-Mo $SiO_2-Al_2O_3$ catalyst untreated, sulfided, and after use as a hydrodesulfurization catalyst for coal.

The unused catalyst contains, by weight, 3% CoO and 15% MoO_s on silica-stabilized (5%) η -alumina. Reactor conditions were 450°C and 4000 psi pressure for a run that lasted 1068 hr, during which the catalyst was exposed to more than 12 tons of coal (4)- Since the catalyst was not presulfided, the sulfidation occurred with sulfur from the coal matrix and under the extreme conditions of the reactor operation.

The X-ray diffraction data were collected on a Rigaku² horizontal goniometer using a copper X-ray tube operated at 40 kV and 35 mA. All measurements were made with a 1° divergent slit, 0.3-mm receiving slit, receiving graphite monochromator and scintillation counter with pulse-height discrimination. All samples were ground finer than about 20 μ m and packed into a glass holder with an opening $16 \times 8 \times 0.5$ mm. No binder or adhesive was needed.

Reproduced in Fig. 1 are representative diffractograms of the samples studied. Figure 1A, from the 0402T catalyst (oxide form) as received from the manufacturer, shows peaks due to η -alumina (the support), nordstrandite, $[A(OH)_3]$, and $CaMoO₄$. Molybdenum both as $CaMoO₄$ and as a monolayer species has previously been observed in this catalyst by Raman spectroscopy (5) . Figure 1B is from the

0021-9517/79/120452-08502.00/0 Copyright $@$ 1979 by Academic Press, Inc. All rights of reproduction in **any form reserved.**

¹ The U.S. Government's right to retain a nonexclusive royalty-free license in and to the copyright covering this paper, for governmental purposes, is acknowledged.

Use of brand names facilitates understanding and does not necessarily imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Energy.

Fie. 1. Diffractogram of (A) unused Harshaw 0402T catalyst, (B) Harshaw 0402T sulfided at 440 to 450°C, with 10% H₂S in H₂, for 1 hr at 1650 to 1960 psi pressure, (C) spent Harshaw 0402T from reactor inlet, and (D) spent Harshaw 0402T from reactor outlet. Maximum intensity at top of chart for all curves was approximately 300 cps. A background subtraction setting on the ratemeter of 2.0 was used for all curves except *"C,"* where the setting was 2.3. The use of this setting resulted in the straight-line background from 50 to 57 ° and 69 to 72 ° . Scanning speed 1° per min, chart speed 10 mm per min.

catalyst sulfided at 440 to 450°C for 1 hr with 10% H₂S in H₂ at 1650 to 1960 psi pressure, and Figs. 1C and D are diffracto-

grams from the catalyst after use in the coal HDS reactor, removed from the inlet and outlet, respectively. The patterns for

NOTES

2θ	d Spacings (\AA)				Compound
	Unused 0402T	Sulfided 0402T	Reactor inlet	Reactor outlet	
14.2		6.2	6.2	6.2	MoS ₂
18.6	4.77				Nordstrandite $[Al(OH)_3]$, CaMoO ₄
19.2		4.6	4.6	4.6	$n-\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$
25.4			3.50	3.50	Anatase $(TiO2)$
26.0			3.42	3.42	MoO ₂
26.6			3.35	3.35	Quartz $(SiO2)$
28.6	3.12				CaMoO ₄
29.7			3.00	3.00	Pyrrhotite $(Fe_{1-x}S)$
31.3	2.86				CaMoO ₄
31.9				2.80	Unidentified
32.4	2.76				Unidentified
33.0			2.71	2.71	MoS_{2}
33.6		2.67			MoS ₂
34.4	2.60				CaMoO ₄
37.0	2.43^a	2.43^a	2.43	2.43^a	η -Al ₂ O ₃ , MoO ₂
39.3	2.28	2.28	2.28	2.28	η -Al ₂ O ₃ , MoO ₂
45.2	2.00				CaMoO ₄
46.0	1.97	1.97	1.97	1.97	$n-\mathrm{Al}_2\mathrm{O}_3$
47.0	1.93				CaMoO ₄
49.2	1.85^{b}		1.85c		$CaMoO4$, $MoO2$
53.6			1.71		MoO ₂
54.0	1.70				CaMoO ₄
54.3				1.69	Unidentified
58.1	1.59				CaMoO ₄
58.6		1.57	1.57	1.57	MoS ₂
60.0	1.54	1.54	1.54	1.54	$n - Al2O3$
66.8	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.40	η -Al ₂ O ₃

List of d Spacings and Compounds Identified in Unused, Presulfided, and Spent Catalysts from the Reactor

 \circ Only η -Al₂O₃.

b Only CaMoO4.

 c Only MoO₂.

the sulfided, used inlet, and used outlet samples show the absence of nordstrandite and $CaMoO₄$, and the presence of η alumina and poorly crystalline MoS2. Arrows are used in Fig. 1 to indicate the broad lines due to MoS₂. Two of the lines, the (10) at 2.7 Å and the (11) at 1.58 Å overlap the broad (311) and (333) of n -alumina at 2.43 and 1.51 Å, respectively. The inlet and outlet samples also contain MOO2. It has been suggested that the sulfided catalyst contains a mixture of $MoO₂$ and $MoS₂(6)$, but contrary evidence has also been presented (7). Table 1 lists the

FIG. 2. MoS₂ (002) reflection representative step scans from 10 to 17° 20 at intervals of 0.1°, where each step was counted for 30 s. (AA) Harshaw 0402T catalyst sulfided at 450° C, with 10% HaS in Ha, at atmospheric pressure, for 1 hr. (A) Unused Harshaw 0402T catalyst. (B) Harshaw 0402T sulfided at 440 to 450°C, with 10% H₂S in H₂, for 1 hr at 1650 to 1960 psi pressure. (C) Spent Harshaw 0402T from reactor inlet. (D) Spent Harshaw 0402T from reactor outlet.

a Not present.

compounds identified by X-ray diffraction. Quartz from the coal and pyrrhotite and anatase formed during liquefaction are difficult to remove completely from the spent catalysts.

The diffractogram of the catalyst sulfided at 440 to 450°C and 1650 to 1960 psi, Fig. 1B, shows a much weaker $MoS₂$ (002) band than that shown by the spent pellets from the reactor. A sample of catalyst was then sulfided at 450°C with 10% H₂S in $H₂$ at atmospheric pressure for 1 hr. This gave a diffraction pattern identical to Fig. 1B except that the weak (002) band was absent, as shown in Fig. 2AA, indicating a lack of three-dimensional growth. However, the presence of a (10) band, Fig. 3AA, and a (11) band signify the presence of two-dimensional erystallites. Wildervanek and Jellinek (8) produced two-dimensional $MoS₂$ by heating amorphous MoSs to 400°C, and Ratnasamy and

Leonard (9) showed that reduction of MoS3 in hydrogen yielded a somewhat more crystalline material at lower temperatures. Figures 2 and 3 are step scans, for all the samples studied, over the 2θ ranges of the (002) and (10) bands, 10 to 17° and 30 to 36°.

Using step scans similar to those shown in Figs. 2 and 3 the approximate erystallite dimensions of MoS_2 , in the plane L_a and perpendicular to the plane of the layers $L_{\rm c}$ were determined from the width at halfmaximum of the (10) and (002) reflections respectively. The following relationships were used :

$$
L_{\rm a} = \frac{2\lambda}{\beta \cos 16.5^{\circ}}
$$

and

$$
L_{\rm e} = \frac{0.9\lambda}{\beta \cos 7.15^{\circ}},
$$

FIG. 3. MoS₂ (10) reflection representative step scans from 30 to 36° 20 at intervals of 0.1°, where each step was counted for 60 s. (AA) Harshaw 0402T catalyst sulfided at 450°C, with 10% H₂S in H₂, at atmospheric pressure, for 1 hr. (A) Unused Harshaw 0402T catalyst. (B) Harshaw 0402T sulfided at 440 to 450°C, with 10% H₂S in H₂, for 1 hr at 1650 to 1960 psi pressure. (C) Spent Harshaw 0402T from reactor inlet. (I)) Spent Harshaw 0402T from reactor outlet. The peaks for (AA) and (B) occur at larger angles due to the smaller crystallite size (10). The 2.80-Å lines in (C) and (D) may be from \cos_2 or \cos_3 .

where $\lambda = 1.5418$ Å, and β is the measured width at half-maximum converted to radians. The appropriate shape factors are 2 and 0.9 for the two- and three-dimensional lines, respectively *(10).*

The widths at half-maximum were measured on step scans made at 0.1° intervals counted for 30 or 60 s per step. Measurements were made on three samples from each treatment and each measurement was within $\pm 10\%$ of the average. Lubricant MoS2 measured under identical conditions as the catalysts had widths at half-maximum of 0.10° for the (002) and 0.24° 2 θ for the (100) reflection indicating that the instrumental broadening was small compared to that due to the size of the $MoS₂$ crystallites in the sulfided and spent catalysts. No attempt was made to obtain highly accurate crystallite size measurements in this preliminary study because the high background and low peak to background ratio make determination of the shape of the peaks very difficult. Table 2 shows the estimated crystallite sizes for the samples studied. These sizes, estimated to be within $\pm 30\%$ of the true value and probably on the low side, show that the $MoS₂$ crystallites are much larger in the plane of the $MoS₂$ layers than perpendicular to it. The presence of crystallites of this size is consistent with the pore volume distribution of the catalyst reported by the manufacturer. They indicate that the mercury-helium method shows that 75% of the pore volume is due to pores less than 120 Å in diameter. The number of $MoS₂$ layers present in these crystallites can be calculated from the measured parameters for hexagonal MoS_2 (11). This results in an estimate of 9 to 11 layers in the crystallites in the spent catalysts.

As noted previously, the catalyst from the reactor was not presulfided, so that the sulfiding occurred during actual reactor operation. Further, the hydrodesulfurization function of the catalyst was apparently deactivated before the termination of the

run (5) . Thus it is difficult to assess the exact role of the $MoS₂$ crystallites in regard to the HDS function of the catalyst. It is possible that two- or three-dimensional or amorphous $MoS₂$ has activity and that the noted deactivation of the catalyst occurred through a mechanism independent of the structure of the active (molybdenum) components. The molybdenum-sulfur species could still be catalytically active for HDS reactions, but are precluded from so functioning because of diffusional limitations resulting from deposited metals or mineral matter from the coal or formed intractable carbonaceous materials (coke). On the other hand, it is possible that change in the $MoS₂$ crystallites is symptomatic of the actual deactivation mechanism ; i.e., the active two-dimensional crystallites, under the extreme conditions of operation of a coal HDS reactor, deactivate by the formation of three-dimensional crystallites with a concomitant decrease in surface area of the active components. The study of catalysts at various levels of activity (or deactivation) should permit one to more fully address these questions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Mr. Nestor J. Mazzocco for providing the coal liquefaction reactor from which the used catalyst samples were obtained and Dr. Richard E. Tischer for sulfiding several catalyst samples.

REFERENCES

- 1. Massoth, F. E., *J. Catal. 50,* 190 (1977).
- 2. Brown, F. R., and Makovsky, L. E., *Appl. Spectrosc.* 31, 43 (1977).
- 3. de Beer, V. H. J., van der Alst, M. J. M., Machiels, C. J., and Schuit, C. C. A., J. *Catal.* 43, 78 (1976).
- 4. Rogers, S. E., Mazzocco, N. J., Akhtar, S., and Yavorsky, P. M., "Coal Liquefaction in SYNTHOIL Reactor without Added Catalyst." Presented at 85th National AIChE Meeting, Philadelphia, Pa., June 4-8, 1978.
- 5. Brown, F. R., Makovsky, L. E., and Rhee, K. H., *J. Catal.* 50, 385 (1977).
- *6.* Romanowski, W., *Rocz. Chem.* 37, 1077 (1963).
- 7. Richardson, J. T., *Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam. 3,* 184 (1964).
- 8. Wildervanek, J. C., and Jellinek, *F., Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* 328, 309 (1964).
- 9. Ratnasamy, P., and Leonard, *A. J., J. Catal.* 26, 352 (1972).
- 10. Klug, H. P., and Alexander, L. E., "X-Ray Diffraction Procedures for Polycrystalline and Amorphous Materials," 2nd ed., pp. 688, 704, Wiley, New York, 1974.
- *11.* Dickinson, R. G., and Pauling, L., *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* 45, 1966 (1923).
	- S. S. POLLACK L. E. MAKOVSKY F. R. BROWN
- *United States Department of Energy Pittsburgh Energy Technology Center 4800 Forbes Avenue Pittsburgh, P~nnsylvania 15213*

Received August 2, 1978; revised March 26, 1979